



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/607,895	06/27/2003	Barrett M. Kreiner	BELL-0193/02242	7209
45695 7590 05/09/2007 WITHERS & KEYS FOR BELL SOUTH P. O. BOX 71355 MARIETTA, GA 30007-1355			EXAMINER VU, TUAN A	
			ART UNIT 2193	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 05/09/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/607,895

Applicant(s)

KREINER ET AL.

Examiner

Tuan A. Vu

Art Unit

2193

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Tuan A. Vu.

(3) _____

(2) Ted Naeckel.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 04 May 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 20.

Identification of prior art discussed: Salmenkaita.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.



Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Applicant's representative consulted the Examiner about the changes made to the claims to overcome the USC § 112 deficiencies. The Examiner provided suggestion to go about fixing these problems; but further suggested additional language to obviate potential confusion so that one would otherwise give weight to some aspects of the invention. For example claim 1, there should be a setting and a need by which programming instructions in a client application would have to be converted into a form native and executable to only some context for achieving a result that is required for the client application or request; and based on the very specifics of the need for an executable code, effectuating a metadata correspondence between the client application and a remote service using a portable format in order to communicate some metainformation specifics to the building targeted code (native form) needed to generate the above client request. However, it was emphasized that the paradigm of using back and forth sending of XML formatted messages between 2 applications with corresponding native architecture has been known concept and in order to obviate potential obviousness rationale, more implementation specifics has to be imparted into the claim, e.g. when identifying what program is to be created, what data to populate the XML message so to render the invention more distinguishing over the prior art; necessarily when the claim language as it stands is open to broad interpretation in more than one ways (e.g. instructions ... compatible with the first operating system)..